CABINET 13<sup>th</sup> July 2015

## **Delivering Differently – Future Service Delivery of Operational Services**

**Report by Executive Head of Environmental Services** 

FOR RECOMMENDATION

Cabinet Lead: Councillor Tony Briggs

**Key Decision: N/A** 

## 1.0 Purpose of Report

- **1.1**. Havant Borough Council has to achieve £1.4 million corporate savings or additional revenue generation by 2018/19.
- 1.2 In order to achieve further reductions in service budgets and to meet the demands of the Corporate Strategy, there is a need to review the future delivery of Council Services. For Operational Services, officers have undertaken a detailed appraisal of 4 options in an effort to determine the most cost effective delivery method.

#### 2.0 Recommendations

THAT Cabinet recommends to full Council:

- a) Approval for officers to continue to explore options for increasing the efficiency and driving down costs of the current in-house core services to ensure that Operational Services are fit for commissioning, including issues outlined within the Business Plan for 2015/16.
- b) Approval for officers to formally enter into discussions with Norse Commercial Services Itd with a view to forming a Joint Venture Company.
- c) Agreement that an outline Business Case will be submitted to Cabinet in September 2015 for approval.
- d) Agreement that additional resources up to the sum of £75k be allocated to this project to ensure delivery by February 2016.

#### 3.0 Summary

- 3.1 Since the report to Cabinet Brief on 20<sup>th</sup> May 2015, Norse Commercial Services Ltd, a 100% publically owned company, delivered a presentation to Members of the Council immediately prior to the Scrutiny Board meeting on 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2015. The Scrutiny Board also met on 24<sup>th</sup> June 2015 to deliberate and consider the options surrounding the future delivery of Operational Services. Several issues were raised either during the presentation or at the Scrutiny Board meeting. The issues that were not adequately dealt with at the presentation / meeting are addressed in this report.
- 3.2 Since the report to Cabinet on 20<sup>th</sup> May, further extensive research has been undertaken into other public joint venture companies but none are considered to have the necessary background, knowledge and experience to deliver the range of services under consideration.
- 3.3 Norse Commercial Services Ltd is identified as the most suitable JVC partner for Havant Borough Council because it has the appropriate standing and experience. Officers are confident that they will be able to undertake our services, maintain or improve existing standards, deliver efficiencies and expand the business in order to deliver profit share. The new JVC would initially focus on the services detailed in the report but with potential that would also allow for other related Council services to be added over time.
- 3.4 Cost savings would come from a number of sources:
  - Operational efficiencies through higher productivity, including investment in new equipment.
  - Review of the existing management structure to develop commercial acumen
  - Reduction in current support services provided to Operational Services as Norse would provide HR, Payroll, Finance, ICT, Sales & Marketing, Procurement, Health and Safety (an estimated 6% charge of the budget) to the JVC.
  - Compliance monitored by the Board rather than a Client Officer Team.
    - In order to fully benefit from the savings and additional income the council should review its Support Services to ensure they are not duplicated. Some of these costs may not be realised as there are other council activities that may still require these Support Services. Residual support services will be identified and managed appropriately.
- 3.5 In order to meet the financial challenges faced by the Council, one of the additional benefits of pursuing the Norse JVC option is the short implementation time of 6 months:

Stage	Stage	Details	Duration	
Number	0 1:		0 "	
1	Options	Options being considered by Havant Borough Council	Currently	
	Approval of recommendation			
2	Business Proposal	If the decision made is to proceed with a JVC a Formal Proposal is drafted (alongside a Business Case) including:	2 months	
		<ul><li>The objectives</li><li>Requirements</li><li>Risks</li><li>Financial projections</li></ul>		
		This is produced jointly by Norse and Havant Borough Council		
	J	IEB/Scrutiny/Cabinet approval		
3	Due Diligence	Including:  • Financial viability of the proposed JVC  • Legalities (Teckal etc) • Risks • Pensions etc  Drafting of Legal Documents:  • Service agreements • Governance arrangements • Shareholders agreements • Business transfer arrangements etc	1 month	
		Norse create legal documentation, once agreement is reached, final documents are produced and signed. The budgets for the first five years are agreed		
_		Cabinet approval		
4	Mobilisation	<ul><li>Formal Mobilisation Plan created</li><li>Transfer of services commences</li></ul>	Three months	

#### Subject of Report 4.0

To provide information relating to the 4 options: 4.1

Option 1- Service as-is
Option 2- Outsource to a Private Contractor

**Option 3**- Local Authority Partnership/Contracting Option

Option 4- Outsource to a JVC

- (a) Public/Public
- (b) Public/Private

## 4.2 The following services are within the scope of the report:

- Household Waste Collections
- Bulky Waste Collections
- Garden Waste Collection
- Street Cleansing
- Public Convenience Cleansing
- Open Space Maintenance (incl. Beachlands)
- Allotments
- Beach Huts
- Cemeteries
- Vehicle Maintenance Workshop
- Engineering Works Team

## 5.0 Implications

#### 5.1 Resources:

Following approval of the recommendations, a Project Board and Project Team will be established. This will include representatives as required from HR, Finance, Legal, Operational Services, Procurement, Communications, I.T. and Business Improvement.

#### 5.2 **Legal:**

The company will be set up under the 'Teckal exemption' so OJEU procurement rules do not apply.

The 'Teckal exemption' applies where a local authority contracts with a Company which is in Local Authority ownership (whether with one or more Local Authority Members) and which provides services to the hosting local authority.

For the company to benefit from the "Teckal exemption", the following criteria must be satisfied:

- a) the trading company must be wholly owned by the local authorities, and there can be no private ownership or interest in the company;
- b) the local authority exercises a control which is similar to that which it exercises over its own departments, and
- c) the trading activity of the company must not exceed 20% of the turnover of the company, that is, 80% or more of the activity of the company must be for its public sector owners.

Where these conditions are met it will not be necessary for the arrangement to be advertised in accordance with EU Procurement requirements and the contract can be awarded to the JVC directly.

This means that if Havant Borough Council make the decision that entering into the Joint Venture with Norse on the basis that this represents best value in terms of its provision of Operational Services, it can do so without having to put the opportunity out to competition.

## 5.3 Strategy:

The proposal set out in the 'Future Service Delivery of Operational Services' report **(Appendix A)** underpins the financial sustainability priority theme as set out in the Corporate Strategy. It also supports the theme of customer service excellence. The proposed option will generate savings for Havant Borough Council without reducing the quality of service that is currently provided to the customer.

This forms part of the Delivering Differently programme which will increase income and develop new markets as well as changing the way we deliver services to meet local needs in the most appropriate way.

#### 5.4 **Risks**:

There are a number of factors which will be affected and could therefore pose risks to Havant Borough Council. The risks and associated mitigations are contained within the Risk Register (Appendix C)

#### 5.6 **Communications:**

A Public Consultation for Operational Services started on 21<sup>st</sup> May and was completed on 18<sup>th</sup> June 2105. The final summary information from the Public Consultation is available at **(Appendix H)** 

Employees within the Operational Services Team have been informed of progress to date following Member decisions.

A detailed Communications Plan will be devised once the recommendations have been approved.

## Appendices:

- A -Delivering Differently Options Appraisal
- **B** -Service Costs
- C -Risk Register (amended 25/06/2015)
- **D** -SWOT analysis
- E- Potential savings and growth graph
- F- Project Plan

**G-** Example JVC governance and benefits table

H- Public Consultation Summary (added 25/06/2015)

## Agreed and signed off by:

Legal Services: (01/07/2015)

Executive Head of Governance & Logistics: (01/07/2015) Executive Head of Environmental Services: (01/07/2015)

Cabinet Lead: (01/07/2015)

**Contact Officer:** Steve Perkins

Job Title: Executive Head – Environmental Services

Telephone: 02392 446520

E-Mail: steve.perkins@havant.gov.uk

# **Delivering Differently - Future Service Delivery of Operational Services at Havant Borough Council**

Author:	Steve Perkins / Peter Vince	
Approval Date:		
Approval Authority:	Havant Borough Council	
Issue Number	1.8	
Date:	13 <sup>th</sup> July 2015	
Status:	Final	

## 1. Background

Balancing the budget by the beginning of 2016-17 will require significant reductions in public spending, savings which can then be used to support both deficit reduction targets and programmes to stimulate badly needed economic growth. Central departmental budgets will be on average 8% below their current levels in real terms in 2014-15. The situation in local government is tough too: Councils face a cut of 28% in the central government grant.

The challenge of bringing public finances into balance, coupled with the impact of major demographic change over the next 20 years, means there is an urgent need to re-think how we approach public services. Business as usual is not an option. We simply cannot afford to continue to run them in the same way if we are to deliver the services needed and demanded by the public.

Source: CBI report 'Open access – Delivering quality and value in our public services: September 2012

In line with Havant Borough Council's Business Plans (2014/15 and 2015/16) various options for future delivery of operational services have been considered.

#### Scope of this Report

- A review of existing service provision
- Estimated Cost of current services (2014/15 & 2015/16) Appendix B
- Research into each option
- To make a recommendation based on findings

The following services are within the scope of this report:

- Household Waste
- Garden Waste Collection
- Street Cleansing
- Public Convenience Cleansing
- Open Space Maintenance (incl. Beachlands)
- Allotments
- Beach Huts
- Cemeteries
- Vehicle Maintenance Workshop
- Engineering Works Team

#### **The Current Market**

A key part of the core services is the waste and recycling collection service. This particular market area has a history of price volatility, and reacts to regional, national and even international issues, including market outlets for dry recyclables, competition on available collection and disposal contracts, and also the general economic situation at that time. Several years ago, tender returns were extremely competitive due to the prevailing market conditions at that time, and current competition may still be as high, but only a soft market test would give us an indication of the current situation, but cannot predict future trends.

Other core service areas, including Grounds Maintenance and Street Cleansing services, could also be part of a soft market testing process.

A soft market testing of recently let waste & associated environmental service (OJEU) let tenders has been carried out by the Business Improvement Team in order to compare our cost threshold for these services in Havant against current market trends, and also to verify the potential 11%\* savings that could possibly be achieved by letting these functions out to a private contractor.

Based on 2015/16 estimates this could result in savings of £350k on core services (i.e. 11% of £3.2M) or up to £400k if the associated services were in scope as well. On the basis of this soft market test, the potential savings target appears to be reasonable and potentially achievable.

\*Source: CBI report 'Open access – Delivering quality and value in our public services

An alternative outsourcing option to the traditional externally let contract to a private contractor would be a Joint Venture Company (JVC), which could also potentially deliver a similar level of savings for Havant; 11%\*, whilst maintaining or even improving service levels.

\*Source - Norse Commercial Services Ltd.

#### Service "AS - IS"

Operational Services covers a wide range of frontline services based at Southmoor Offices / Depot and at Beachlands including:

Household Waste	Street Cleansing	Public Convenience Cleaning	Open Space Maintenance	Vehicle Maintenance	Engineering Works
Residential	Manual	Cleansing	*Allotments	Repairs	Rapid
collections					response
Recycling	Mechanical	Minor Maintenance	*Cemeteries	Maintenance/ servicing	Out of hours
Garden waste	Fly tipping	Opening and Closing	Beach lands	Out of hours	Street name plates
Clinical	Rapid	0.00g	Playgrounds	Procurement	Minor civil
waste	response		, , ,		works
Bulky waste	Out of hours		*Beach Huts		
Bring sites:			Play	Taxi checks	
Glass &			equipment		
Textiles					
			Sports	Non- HBC	
			Facilities	vehicles	
				(other LA's,	
				schools etc.)	
			Grounds		
			Maintenance		
			Arboriculture		
			Recreation		
			Grounds		

<sup>\*</sup> These service areas form part of the Business Plan for 2015/16

Operational Services uses a wide range of IT systems and products including:

Product	Purpose/used for
ActiveSync	Downloading refuse cart PDAs
Remedy	Recording/reporting of service issues
Woisme	Looking up missed bins, contaminated waste
Epitaph	Cemeteries
SKOOP	Intranet
Meridio	EDRMS – document and records storage
Kofax	Scanning software
Kahootz	Enables data sharing for projects and groups
GIS	Graphical information system – map layering
Waste database	Bin ordering
Cedar	Financial systems
Cadcorp	Map editor
Pitch bookings	Pitch bookings and Allotment bookings (On old tower PC systems
	not on Winterm)
DVLA	Direct link to the DVLA to check vehicle ownership (stand alone
	PC)
Phoenix Triscan	Fuel usage system

## 2. Identified Options

Option 1- In- House

Option 2- Outsource to a Private Contractor

Option 3- Local Authority Partnership/Contracting Option

Option 4- Outsource to a JVC

- a) Public/Public
- b) Public/Private

The options in this study have been built upon information gathered via workshops, site visits and meetings with relevant groups and individuals.

In the creation of this document (and associated appendices) the following have contributed:

- Cabinet Lead Cllr Tony Briggs
- Corporate Director
- Executive Head Marketing & Development Delivering Differently Lead
- Executive Head Environmental Services
- Service Manager Operational Services
- Service Manager Joint Waste Contract (Option 2 & 3)
- Service Manager- Marketing and Customer Relations (Option 2 & 4)
- Corporate Programme Office (All Options)
- HR (All Options)
- Legal HBC (All options)
- Legal Counsel (Option 4a)
- Procurement (All Options)
- Finance Business Partner (All Options)
- Norse Commercial Services Group (Option 4a)
- Suffolk Coastal Norse (Option 4a)
- TOR2- (Option 4b)

#### **Option 1- In-house:**

#### **Description**

The Council will continue to operate the services.

As part of the 2015/16 business plan a number of projects were identified which could increase income and/or reduce costs as part of the on-going drive to reduce net costs to the council of the in-house option:

- Cemeteries-Identify a private sector operator to run HBC cemeteries by advertising a 'concessions contract' and also develop a new cemetery at West of Waterlooville MDA at nil cost to the Council.
- Pursue self management options for allotments sites and sports facilities- Transfer of services to user groups resulting in a reduced reliance on Council resources
- Beach Huts ~ a site for an additional 36 Beach huts has been identified, subject to
  planning and ecology advice as part of this project which will generate an estimated
  additional income of £28,000 per annum. The project is also looking at options for
  increasing income from existing licences which may result in a further £60,000 per
  annum from 2017/18. This would involve transferring from existing arrangements of
  licences for plots to leases for plots and huts.
- Review of open spaces management including grass cutting regime ~ initial
  estimates suggest we could save approximately £25,000 per annum by reducing
  the frequency of grass cuts and creating a more natural environment in some areas
  of our parks & open spaces. More detailed analysis is required to verify this.

#### Commercial opportunities

To explore the options for creating commercial opportunities within the service ie: Vehicle Maintenance workshop, grounds maintenance, trade waste/recycling etc. A robust business case would be required in order to identify as to whether any of these opportunities are worth pursuing and is there enough interest to warrant investment by the council.

It should be noted that in considering the commercial options outlined above, if a local authority wishes to trade to the private sector it must do so through a company (S 93-95 of the Local Government Act 2003)

HR features		
Remain as-is		
Legal features		
As noted above		
Timescale and achievability		
N/A		
Governance		
Remain as-is		

Advantages	Disadvantages
Flexible service with ability to respond to	Budget savings unlikely to be significant
local issues / service changes	following previous savings of £750K over
	the past few years.
Local response to councillors/customer	High maintenance approach to managing
enquiries	sickness and performance, and cost to the
	Council through sickness absence.
No procurement costs	Lack of commercial acumen
Ability to review services if required as	Local Authorities are restricted in their
part of budget setting process	ability to trade commercially. If public body
	wishes to trade with private sector must do
	so via a company.
Retention of vehicle workshop and ability	Support Service Costs
to generate income	
Retention of local knowledge	
Ability to respond to emergency	
situations	

#### **Option 2- Outsource to a Private Contractor**

#### Description

This would involve the Council contracting the delivery of the services to a private contractor whilst the council would retain overall responsibility for the services. The contractor would deliver services on behalf of the Council in accordance with appropriate specifications identified within a commercial contract. A contractor would use its combined extensive resources, experience and expertise to increase cost efficiency and raise standards where possible.

Given the value and nature of the contract, the contract would need to be OJEU tendered

#### **HR** features

**TUPE-** Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) regulations.

The principles are common to all options involving staff transfer to an external body:

- Where services are transferred to an external body, staff will normally transfer to that body under TUPE
- Where the transfer is to a commercial organisation TUPE requirements need to be met
- Demonstrate the ability to provide conditions of service, which are not less favourable than those provided by the Council, which may include any Organisational, Economic and Technical changes.

TUPE regulations apply to those staff directly delivering the services to be transferred. A lot of detailed work would be required including the creation of a transfer plan detailing:

- What work/services to be transferred
- Staff numbers
- Personal contract details
- Liabilities
- Collective agreements
- Trade union recognition
- Continuous employment
- Legal guidance

This information would have to be provided within one month of mobilisation.

#### **Pensions**

Where staff transfer to a new employer under TUPE, the new employer must apply to join the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) as an "Admitted Body". A pass-through agreement would be required whereby the contractor would only be responsible for current contribution levels at the point of transfer.

## Legal features

An EU procurement exercise would need to be undertaken and any arrangement entered into with a contractor would be subject to the Councils terms and conditions of contract, including a specification setting out the services included, financial arrangements, and standards required.

## Timescale and achievability

- Preparing services of this value for transfer to a Private Contractor would involve
  a full EU procurement exercise. This will be very time consuming, up to 18
  months from commencement, due to strict time guidelines, and costly due to the
  amount of officer/specialist time involved i.e. producing detailed specifications
  (these have not been produced since the days of Compulsory Competitive
  Tendering CCT in the 1980's & 1990's), evaluation of bids and the provision of
  TUPE information. This could cost an estimated £100,000 (one-off) as it may
  need a Consultant to undertake and complete this work.
- It is imperative that the contract contains a robust specification relating to the delivery of existing services and also includes a mechanism for future service changes and/or enhancements. At present no formal specification exists
- The transfer of services to the commercial sector is an accepted procedure and there is expertise available to ensure that the transfer happens within the required rules and regulations.
- Market research has indicated that there are likely to be commercial providers interested in tendering for HBC services.

#### Governance

- The contract would be governed through effective contract management arrangements, which would include KPI's and regular contract review meetings. The Council would carry out the aforementioned through a contract monitoring team; this would be a direct annual cost to the council of £200,000 (estimated).
- The Council would have less flexibility in making changes to the contract specification without incurring additional costs

Advantages	Disadvantages
Potential budget savings	Interpretation of service specifications
	may result in uncertainties
Service provision guaranteed for	Potentially reduced ability to respond to
length of contract	emergencies unless covered and
	priced for in the contract
Service costs fixed, apart from	Potential reduction of vehicle
population and inflation growth, for	maintenance work might affect viability
length of contract	of Vehicle Workshop unless other
	markets are developed or this facility is
	included in the contract packaging.

Loop management times involved in	Coot of cotting and their managing
Less management time involved in	Cost of setting up and then managing
managing sickness / performance	contract.
Potential to reduce Support Services	Long timescale to set up & start
	contract – likely to be in late 2016/17.
Potential income from lease of	Reduced flexibility
Southmoor site to contractor.	
Potentially a wide choice of contractor	
Sustaining local community and keeping	
jobs and profits in the local area	
Potential Day one savings	
Fotential Day one Savings	
Cavinara profila	
Savings profile:	
Reshaping service delivery to	
agreeable Council outcomes	
Reorganisation of resources	
3. Benefits released from transferring	
in or aligning additional services	
4. Introduction of 2 tier working	
5. Purchasing savings	
6. Bringing subcontracted services 'in	
house'	
7. IT system improvements	
8. Reduction in bureaucracy and	
more agile working	
9. Investment in more efficient	
equipment and vehicles	
Describle Desires (Oscares violence (Inc.)	
Possible Business/Commercial growth i.e.	
Vehicle Maintenance Workshop, Trade	
waste/recycling, Grounds maintenance	
etc	
Robust specification (you only get what	
you ask for)	
Access to wider markets	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Private Sector expertise / commercial	
acumen	
Economies of scale	
Louidilles di scale	

## Option 3- Local Authority Partnership/Contracting Option

#### **Description**

Savings are often increased where two or more councils collaborate and let a shared contract with a joint contract team.

There are a number of examples of local authority partnerships across the country with contracted core services, and in the local area as follows:

- East Hampshire / Winchester (expires 2019/20)
- Basingstoke / Hart (expires 2017/18)
- Wealden / Eastbourne / Rother / Hastings (expire 2023/24)

The approach for this option would either be:

- Outsource to a Private contractor with a framework in place so that others can join
- Join an existing contract (Legal implications below)

#### **HR** features

As option 2

## Legal features

The legal advice on contracts was as follows:

If a public body has set up a framework agreement for the provision of operational services and that framework agreement was procured in-line with the Public Contracts Regulations, then a local authority can (subject to the terms of that particular framework agreement) "call off" under that framework for the provision of services from a Private operator already procured under the framework.

In terms of joining an existing contract between a private operator and a local authority/ies, most public sector contracts above the public procurement thresholds will have been procured under a regulated procurement procedure. It follows that no substantial change should be made unless regard has been had to the EU's procurement rules. If a contract is changed to a material degree, it may be held that there is, in fact, a new contract, which should have been advertised accordingly and the resultant contract may be ineffective. Counsel's opinion on whether adding in a new party and significantly increasing the value of the contract.

Counsel's opinion in conference was as follows:-

"There are circumstances where it may be possible to add 10% additional work to the contract without the need to re advertise in the OJEU, however it would require a 'Notification of modifications of a contract during its term'.

The new EU rules which came into force in Spring 2015 extend the above by allowing

additional works that have become necessary or involve substantial inconvenience/duplication of costs limited to 50% of the price of the original contract. This change needs to have been unforeseeable and will require further testing by asking Counsel to advise on the validity of our actions before proceeding.

Extending the contract by 10% does not pose any issues, over and above that will require further legal analysis."

#### Timescale and achievability

The timescale would depend on which approach is taken:

- Outsource to a Private contractor with a framework in place so that other Local Authorities can join – similar to that of option 2
- Join an existing contract- unless the contract is as per the legal implications we would have to wait until contract expiry of selected Local Authority.

#### Governance

As option 2

Advantages	Disadvantages
Potential budget savings of greater magnitude than single authority approach (Option 2)	May be costly to add / change services once contract let
Service provision guaranteed for length of contract	Interpretation of service specifications may result in uncertainties
Service costs fixed, apart from population and inflation growth, for length of contract	Potentially reduced ability to respond to emergencies unless covered in contract
Less management time involved in managing sickness / performance	Loss of vehicle maintenance work might affect viability of Vehicle Workshop unless other markets are developed or this facility is included in the contract packaging.
Potential to reduce Support Services	Cost of setting up and then managing contract.
Potential income from lease of Southmoor site to contractor.	Long timescale to set up & start contract – not likely to be until at least 2017/18 or even 2019/20.
Wide choice of contractor	Changes in service dependant on Partner(s)
Sustaining local community and keeping jobs and profits in the local area	Finding a potential Partner Authority with aligned objectives and similar timescales to Havant Borough Council.

Day one savings	
Savings profile  1. Reshaping service delivery to agreeable Council outcomes  2. Reorganisation of resources  3. Benefits released from transferring in or aligning additional services  4. Introduction of 2 tier working  5. Purchasing savings  6. Bringing subcontracted services 'in house'  7. IT system improvements  8. Reduction in bureaucracy and more agile working	
<ol><li>Investment in more efficient equipment and vehicles</li></ol>	
Possible Business/Commercial growth i.e. Vehicle Maintenance Workshop, Trade waste/recycling, Grounds maintenance	
Robust specification (you only get what you ask for)	
Access to wider markets	
Private Sector expertise	
Economies of scale	

## Option 4a- Public/Public Joint Venture Company

#### Description

This option involves forming a Joint Venture Company (JVC) with another Local Authority.

The Council retains a direct influence over the business operation, its governance and performance standards, as the Council and appropriate stakeholders would have equitable representation on the JVC Board and would therefore have the ability to change and respond to changing events and issues.

This approach reflects the culture change required by the local authority partner to take a collaborative approach rather than a 'command and control' approach which is a necessary feature in traditional contractual relationships.

Entering into a Joint Venture (JVC) with a suitable partner would enable the Council to access and share external expertise with the objective of addressing its budget challenges.

Public/Public Joint Ventures can deliver public services subject to the 'Teckal' criteria being satisfied. The Council, as one of the public partners, can then 'passport' its services to the JV company for delivery. The JV company would also undertake trading activities with the objective of providing a 'dividend' or profit share back to the JV partners.

A joint venture company would use its combined extensive resources, experience and expertise to increase cost efficiency and raise standards. As well as operational benefits, Havant Borough Council would enjoy increased profit-share and the prospect of long term growth via the development of external revenue.

At a time of unprecedented pressure on public finances, a joint venture company can generate external revenue streams in both public and private sectors leading to revenue streams for the Council and its stakeholders, ensuring value for money for the residents of Hayant.

An example of this type of venture is:

 NORSE Commercial Services (part of the Norse Group), which is wholly owned by Norfolk County Council) - For 24 years Norse Group has been pioneering a radical and cost-saving approach to delivering public services – from asset management to front-line services – by joining forces with the public sector to form Joint Venture Companies (JVCs).

Working in harmony with local councils and other public sector organisations through dynamic partnerships, Norse has increased cost efficiency, raised standards of delivery and added social value: from more efficient design, management and maintenance of public buildings to healthier school meals, cleaner premises, improved school transport, tidier streets and integrated waste and recycling.

Jointly owned operating companies replace traditional client/contractor relationships, resulting in strategic partnerships which generate operating surpluses for the benefit of both Norse and the partner authority.

Faced with unprecedented pressure on public sector finances, more and more local authorities are working closely with Norse to launch such cost-efficient joint ventures.

With performance monitored closely, the partnerships create bonds of trust and financial transparency, delivering the highest standards and achieving targeted service levels.

Examples of Council's that Norse has formed joint ventures with include:

- Wellingborough Borough Council
- Devon County Council
- Waveney and Suffolk Coastal Councils

These examples have been in place for several years, and have produced year on year savings and profit sharing for the partner authorities.

#### **HR** features

#### **TUPE**

The HR principles are common to all options involving staff transfer to an external body:

- Where services are transferred to an external body, staff will normally transfer to that body under TUPE - Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) regulations.
- Where the transfer is to a commercial organisation TUPE requirements need to be met
- Demonstrate the ability to provide conditions of service, which are not less favourable than those provided by the Council, which may include any Organisational, Economic and Technical changes.

TUPE regulations apply to those staff directly delivering the services to be transferred. A lot of work would be required including the creation of a transfer plan detailing:

- What work/services to be transferred
- Staff numbers
- Personal contract details
- Liabilities
- Collective agreements
- Trade union recognition
- Continuous employment
- Legal guidance

This information would have to be provided within one month of mobilisation.

#### **Pensions**

Where staff transfer to a new employer under TUPE, the new employer must apply to join the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) as an "Admitted Body". A pass-through agreement would be required whereby Havant Norse would only be responsible for current contribution levels at the point of transfer.

The new employer also has a duty to provide a pension scheme for transferred employees. This needs further investigation as there could be cost implications for a JV.

#### Legal features

The 'Teckal exemption' applies where a local authority contracts with a Company which is in Local Authority ownership (whether with one or more Local Authority Members) and which provides services to the hosting local authority.

For the company to benefit from the "Teckal exemption", the following criteria must be satisfied:

- d) the trading company must be wholly owned by the local authorities, and there can be no private ownership or interest in the company;
- e) the local authority exercises a control which is similar to that which it exercises over its own departments, and
- f) the trading activity of the company must not exceed 20% of the turnover of the company, that is, 80% or more of the activity of the company must be for its public sector owners.

Where these conditions are met it will not be necessary for the arrangement to be advertised in accordance with EU Procurement requirements and the contract can be awarded to the JVC directly

We took advice from leading Counsel, in February 2015 who opined that the Teckal case law has not changed and that the proposal is "Teckal compliant" Further, Counsel does not regard the JV contract itself as being a public services contract and therefore, the selection of Norse as a joint venture partner does not, need to be exposed to competition.

This means that if HBC make the decision that entering into the JV with Norse on the basis that this represents best value in terms of its provision of operational services, it can do so without having to put the opportunity out to competition.

#### Timescale and achievability

Preparing services of this value for transfer to a JVC would <u>not</u> involve a full EU procurement exercise. It is anticipated that the full mobilisation could take as little as 6 months. Officer time would be required to provide a workable specification, 'Due Diligence' information which would include TUPE

#### Governance

The joint venture option would involve senior Members and Officers as members of the Board of Directors. It may be possible to negotiate the exact make up of the Board.

In addition to the Board of Directors, a Strategic Liaison Board is formed (reporting to the main Board.) This comprises of officers from the local authority, representatives of the partner and other stakeholders. i.e. End users, Members. This Strategic Liaison Board sets standards and provides direction for service outputs, and also deals with budget setting / savings targets etc. for the Partnership, which is locally managed.

This means that the Council would have a strong influence on the company's governance and operations, ensuring compliance with Council strategy (including Fees and charges), vision and change programme principles.

Advantages	Disadvantages
Greater Council control than in a	Potential lack of commercial acumen within
traditional outsourced arrangement	existing staff
Flexibility in responding to Council	Potential savings would be based on
priorities via the Partnership Board	projections rather than contract price
including scrutiny process	
Sustaining local community and keeping	
jobs and profits in the local area	
Opportunity to collaborate with other	
Hampshire districts who are also looking	
at this option	
Streamlined management and	
commercial culture	
Management fee including reduced	
support costs	
No upfront and on-going capital	
investment from the council	
Commercial Financial risk taken by JVC	
Partner	
Agreed minimum profit level guaranteed	
with surplus paid if above that level	
Reduced procurement time—no need for	
a fully detailed specification or OJEU	
process, potential delivery early 2016.	
Reduced technical client requirement	
Opportunity to develop external revenue	
streams eg:	
Vehicle Workshop development	
opportunities	

<ul> <li>Bus Shelters</li> <li>Engineering Works Team and the work that could be completed on behalf of Coastal</li> <li>Trade Waste</li> <li>Cemeteries</li> <li>Open Spaces</li> <li>Regeneration opportunities</li> <li>Allotments</li> </ul>	
Profit share on commercial income and potential budget savings on direct and support service costs	
Public service ethos with commercial	
flair with the ability to trade commercially	
No major change in uniform, livery and facilities	
Day one savings	
Savings profile  1. Reshaping service delivery to agreeable Council outcomes  2. Reorganisation of resources  3. Benefits released from transferring in or aligning additional services  4. Introduction of 2 tier working  5. Purchasing savings  6. Bringing subcontracted services 'in house'  7. IT system improvements  8. Reduction in bureaucracy and more agile working  9. Investment in more efficient equipment and vehicles	

## Option 4b - Public / Private Joint Venture Company

#### **Description**

This option involves forming a Joint Venture Company (JVC) with a Private Company with profits

The Council retains a direct influence over the business operation, its governance and performance standards, as the Council and appropriate stakeholders would have equitable representation on the JVC Board, and would therefore have the ability to change and respond to changing events and issues.

This approach reflects the culture change required by the local authority partner to take a collaborative approach rather than a 'command and control' approach which is a necessary feature in traditional contractual relationships.

Entering into a JV with a suitable partner would enable the Council to access and share commercial expertise with the objective of addressing its budget challenges.

Unlike the public sector JV referred to above where the 'Teckal' exemption applies, a formal procurement process would need to be undertaken prior to establishing a private JV partnership. Assuming the competitive dialogue procurement process is followed; the procurement is likely to take 12-18 months and could be relatively expensive compared to the Teckal example. (With the Council being responsible for these costs).

 May Gurney (now Kier) are working with Torbay Council to deliver various services including: Waste and recycling collections, maintenance of highways, grounds and parks, buildings and the Council's vehicle fleet, street and beach cleansing and out of hours support.

#### **HR** features

#### **TUPE**

The HR principles are common to all options involving staff transfer to an external body:

- Where services are transferred to an external body, staff will normally transfer to that body under TUPE - Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) regulations.
- Where the transfer is to a commercial organisation TUPE requirements need to be met
- Demonstrate the ability to provide conditions of service, which are not less favourable than those provided by the Council, which may include any Organisational, Economic and Technical changes.

TUPE regulations apply to those staff directly delivering the services to be transferred.

A lot of work would be required including the creation of a transfer plan detailing:

- What work/services to be transferred
- Staff numbers
- Personal contract details
- Liabilities
- Collective agreements
- Trade union recognition
- Continuous employment
- Legal guidance

This information would have to be provided within one month of mobilisation.

#### **Pensions**

Where staff transfer to a new employer under TUPE, the new employer must apply to join the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) as an "Admitted Body". A pass-through agreement would be required whereby Havant Norse would only be responsible for current contribution levels at the point of transfer.

The new employer also has a duty to provide a pension scheme for transferred employees. This needs further investigation as there could be cost implications for a JV.

## Legal features

The 'Teckal exemption' does <u>not</u> apply where a local authority contracts with a Company which is not in Local Authority ownership.

An EU procurement exercise would need to be undertaken, compliant with the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 and Council's tendering rules, and any arrangement entered into with a contractor would be subject to the Councils terms and conditions of contract, including a specification setting out the services included, financial arrangements, and standards required.

#### Timescale and achievability

Preparing services of this value for transfer to a Public/Private JV would involve a full EU procurement exercise. This will be time consuming, 12 to 18 months from commencement, due to strict time guidelines, and costly due to the amount of officer/specialist time involved i.e. producing specifications (these have not been produced since CCT), evaluation of bids and the provision of TUPE information. Timescale would be similar to Option 2, however, this could be slightly shorter as the specification process will reflect that of option 4a.

#### Governance

The joint venture option would involve senior Members and Officers as members of the Board of Directors. It may be possible to negotiate the exact make up of the Board.

In addition to the Board of Directors, a Strategic Liaison Board is formed (reporting to

the main Board.) This comprises of officers from the local authority, representatives of the partner and other stakeholders. i.e. End users, Members. This Strategic Liaison Board sets standards and provides direction for service outputs, and also deals with budget setting / savings targets etc. for the Partnership, which is locally managed.

This means that the Council would have a strong influence on the company's governance and operations, ensuring compliance with Council strategy, vision and change programme principles.

Advantages	Disadvantages
Greater Council control than in a	Long timescale to set up & start contract –
traditional outsourced arrangement	likely to be in late 2016/17.
Flexibility in responding to Council	Likely to be a greater Contractor profit
priorities	motivated approach, with openness and
	transparency a critical requirement.
Sustaining local community and keeping	Potential lack of commercial acumen within
jobs and profits in the local area	existing staff
Opportunity to collaborate with other	Potential savings would be based on
Hampshire districts who are also looking	projections rather than contract price
at this option	
Streamlined management and commercial culture	
Opportunity to develop external revenue streams eg: Trade Waste Recycling,	
Vehicle Maintenance Workshop,	
Grounds Maintenance.	
Profit share on commercial income and	
potential budget savings	
Public service ethos with commercial	
flair with the ability to trade commercially	
Day one savings	
Savings profile	
Reshaping service delivery to	
agreeable Council outcomes	
2. Reorganisation of resources	
3. Benefits released from	
transferring in or aligning additional services	
4. Introduction of 2 tier working	
5. Purchasing savings	
6. Bringing subcontracted services	
'in house'	
7. IT system improvements	
Reduction in bureaucracy and	
more agile working	
Investment in more efficient	
equipment and vehicles	

## On-going service efficiencies 2015-16

The following are to be undertaken during 2015:

- Waste Service development opportunities which are currently being explored by the Waste Services Project Officer, together with all other core and associated services, including Streetscene and Grounds Maintenance.
- Capture as-is processes of the service and streamline to ensure its activities are as lean as possible.
- Following this- a capacity analysis will be undertaken by the Corporate Programme Office to identify any spare capacity.

#### <u>Risks</u>

Risks are captured in the Risk Register. (Appendix C)

#### Recommendations

#### Option 1- (Service AS - IS)

This option is discounted due to the fact that:

- Unlikely to provide further major savings without significantly affecting the level of service delivery.
- Lack of commercial knowledge, acumen and resources within the service to develop into new business areas that would complement the core services.
- Local Authorities are restricted in their ability to trade commercially. If public body wishes to trade with private sector must do so via a company.

#### **Option 2- (Outsource to a Private Contractor)**

This option is discounted due to the fact that:

- Prolonged timescales not compatible with corporate objectives
- No profit share income
- Significant set up cost (One off)
- Higher Client Cost (On-going)
- Reduced flexibility and influence (Council and Members)

## **Option 3- (Local Authority Partnership/Contracting)**

This option is discounted due to the fact that:

- Prolonged timescales not compatible with corporate objectives
- No profit share income
- Significant set up cost (One off)
- Higher Client Cost (On-going)
- Reduced flexibility and influence (Council and Members)
- No Partner Authority with aligned objectives and similar timescales to Havant Borough Council.

#### Option 4b- (Public/Private JVC)

This option is discounted due to the fact that:

- Prolonged timescales not compatible with corporate objectives
- Significant set up cost (One off)
- Higher Client Cost (On-going)
- Reduced flexibility and influence (Council and Members)

Therefore, the recommendation for approval is as follows:

- a) Officers continue to explore options for increasing the efficiency and driving down costs of the current in-house core services to ensure that Operational Services are fit for commissioning, taking on board issues within the Business Plan for 2015/16.
- b) That Havant Borough Council formally pursue a Joint Venture Company with Norse Commercial Services Ltd, as that they have:
- A proven track record of working with Local Authorities to develop public sector Joint Venture Companies.
- ii. Significant experience across the range of front line service areas proposed within the scope of the joint venture.
- iii. A proven track record in expanding commercial opportunities with a 50/50 profit share with the Council
- iv. Good staff and Trade Union relationships, with a track record of maintaining terms and conditions for transferred staff for the entire term of the contract
- v. The Teckal process is a relatively short timeframe compared to an OJEU procurement process.

## **APPENDIX B**

## **SERVICE COSTS**

Refuse & Recycling	2014/15	2015/16
Employee Cost	896,400	889,263
*Supplies & Services	451,010	417,614
Income	-530,400	-587,500
Support Services	249,187	275,548
Total	1,066,197	994,925
Green Waste	2014/15	2015/16
Employee Cost	104,046	121,069
*Supplies & Services	87,352	60,800
Income	-334,000	-330,010
Support Services	71,509	100,279
Total	-71,093	-47,862
Open Spaces	2014/15	2015/16
Employee Cost	678,472	647,287
*Supplies & Services	560,734	435,008
Income	-300,822	-328,747
Support Services	146,039	170,996
Total	1,084,423	924,544
Street Cleansing	2014/15	2015/16
Employee Cost	591,421	591,468
*Supplies & Services	189,583	142,228
Income	-13,124	-8,000
Support Services	172,700	221,090
Total	940,580	946,786
D. L.P. O	004445	0045440
Public Conveniences	2014/15	2015/16
Employee Cost	57,925	66,923
*Supplies & Services	60,167	13,765
Income	-12,077	-7,500
Support Services	25,550	48,517
Total	131,565	121,705

Beachlands	2014/15	2015/16
Employee Cost	211,118	130,912
*Supplies & Services	61,027	46,800
Income	-38,232	-5,810
Support Services	62,145	86,323
Total	296,058	258,225

Allotments	2014/15	2015/16
Employee Cost	0	35,559
Supplies & Services	6,474	4,500
Income	-34,808	-31,723
Support Services	0	10,076
Total	-28,322	18,411

Cemeteries	2014/15	2015/16
Employee Cost	178,535	139,979
Supplies & Services	30,012	31,523
Income	-172,084	-166,000
Support Services	37,315	67,134
Total	73,778	62,636

Vehicle Maintenance Workshop	2014/15	2015/16
Employee Cost	228,353	219,443
*Supplies & Services	319,805	310,960
Income	-404,319	-402,450
Support Services	58,663	74,791
Total	202,502	202,744

Engineering Works Team	2014/15	2015/16
Employee Cost	217,188	191,528
*Supplies & Services	21,907	23,718
Income	-597	0
Support Services	45,658	81,190
Total	284,156	296,436

Beach Huts	2014/15	2015/16
Employee Cost	0	37,635
Supplies & Services	11,961	8,800
Income	-204,895	-204,900
Support Services	0	498
Total	-192,934	-157,967

## 'Employee Costs' - this includes;

 All officers directly responsible for delivering the services (Service Manager, Team leaders, Supervisors and team members)

## 'Supplies and Services' - this includes;

- Vehicle costs i.e. MoT, servicing, repairs, maintenance, fuel, insurance, licencing, hire etc...
- Purchase of materials
- Purchase/Hire of equipment
- Uniforms/Health and Safety equipment

2015/16 savings have been made as a result of reviewing and reducing the amounts required.

It should be noted that fuel prices will vary throughout the year and it is very difficult to accurately predict expenditure in this area.

## 'Support Services'- this includes;

- Legal services
- Printing and distribution
- Corporate Finance
- Transactional services
- Business Improvement
- IT
- · Communications and Marketing
- Customer Services
- Corporate Admin Support
- Executive cost re-charges
- Depot overhead